



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/647,406 08/25/2003 Steven J. Kuehl US20020140 2629 173 03/16/2005 **EXAMINER** 7590 WHIRLPOOL PATENTS COMPANY - MD 0750 TAPOLCAI, WILLIAM E 500 RENAISSANCE DRIVE - SUITE 102 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ST. JOSEPH, MI 49085 3744

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/647,406	KUĘHL ET AL.	<b>₩</b>	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	William E. Tapolcai	3744		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 F	ebruary 2005.			
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	, <del></del>			
closed in accordance with the practice under i	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 6,7 and 10-35 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6,7,12-18 and 22-35 is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,11 and 19-21</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine		•		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	, -, .	-		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).		
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		tion No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price			age	
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.		
Attachment/c)				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Neierlete's Cited (PTO-092)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D		52)	

Application/Control Number: 10/647,406 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 10, 11, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saperstein et al in view of Redfern et al. Saperstein et al discloses the claimed invention, including a docking station 68 or 69 remotely located from the primary heat exchanger 62 through a secondary liquid circuit 66-70. However, Saperstein et al does not disclose the recited docking station for receiving a container for objects to be cooled. Redfern et al teaches a refrigeration system including a remotely located container 10 for objects to be cooled. It would be obvious to provide Saperstein et al with a container as part of the docking station 68 or 69, in view of Redfern et al, for the purpose of providing additional cooling for objects to be cooled such as food items.
- 3. Claims 6, 7, 12-18, and 22-35 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on October 22, 2004.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed February 28, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant states that he cannot find any teaching or suggestion in either Saperstein et al or Redfern et al of a dual circuit refrigeration system using a refrigerant to cooling liquid heat exchanger to transfer heat between the refrigerant and

Art Unit: 3744

the cooling liquid. In Saperstein et al the refrigerant in primary circuit 38, 44, 62 exchanges heat between the refrigerant and the cooling liquid in secondary circuit 66-70. Additionally, in Redfern et al, the refrigerant in the primary circuit which includes evaporator 50 exchanges heat with the liquid 48 in the cooler. This liquid may be considered the secondary circuit.

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William E. Tapolcai whose telephone number is (703) 308-2640. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 6:30 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise L. Esquivel can be reached on (703) 308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,406 Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William El Tapolca Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

wet March 14, 2005